METALWORKING FLUIDS (MWF) ALL CATEGORIES

CAS: NONE

NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), Fourth Edition

METHOD: 5524, Issue 1	EVALUATION: PARTIAL	Issue 1: 15 March 2003
OSHA: no PEL	PROPERTIES: not de	efined: Fluids contain varving amounts

DEFINITION: Metal-working fluids

particulate)

ACGIH: no TLV

NIOSH: 0.4 mg/m³ as thoracic particulate (0.5 mg/m³ as total

of mineral oil, emulsifiers, water, alkanolamines, polyethoxyethanols, biocides, surfactants, pressure additives and boron compounds.

SYNONYMS: metalworking fluids (MWF), metal removal fluids, machining fluids, mineral oils, straight fluids, soluble fluids, synthetic fluids and semi-synthetic fluids

SAMPLING		MEASUREMENT			
SAMPLER:	Thoracic partic	ulate: FILTER + CYCLONE	TECHNIQUE:	GRAVIMETR	IC
	(tared 37-mm, 2 cyclone).	2-µm PIFE filter, + thoracic	ANALYTE:	airborne meta	al working fluid
	filter		EXTRACTION:	ternary Solvent: Dichloromethane:	
FLOW RATE	thoracic- 1.6 L/	/min) nin		binary Solvent	t: methanol:water (1:1)
VOL-MIN:	1000 L @ 0.4 n	ng/m³ or 0.5 mg/m³	BALANCE:	0.001 mg ser before and af	nsitivity; use same balance ter sample collection
SHIPMENT:	routine		CALIBRATION:	National Instit Technology (Class 1 weigh	tute of Standards and Class S-1.1 weights or ASTM
SAMPLE STABILITY:	Refrigerate upc	on receipt at laboratory; analyze collection	RANGE:	0.05 to 2 mg	per sample
BLANKS:	at least 5 field b	planks per set	ESTIMATED LOD:	total weight- extractable -	0.03 mg per sample [7] 0.03 mg per sample weight [7]
BULK SAMPLE:	one for each flu testing	uid at each site for solubility	PRECISION (Š _r):	total weight- extracted -	0.04 (≥0.2mg/sample) [1] 0.05 (≥0.2mg/sample) weight [1]
ACCURACY				weight[1]	
RANGE STU	DIED:	0.05 to 0.9 mg/sample			
BIAS:		not determined			
OVERALL PF	RECISION (Ŝ _{rT}):	total weight 0.06 extracted weight 0.07			
ACCURACY	(Estimated):	total weight 0.12 extracted weight 0.14			

APPLICABILITY: The working range is 0.050 to 2 mg/sample for a 1000-L air sample. The total weight procedure permits an estimate of the total particulate aerosol, including nuisance dust, airborne metal particulate and metal working fluid. If the extraction procedure is used, the technique permits an estimate of the total metal working fluid to which the worker is exposed. The method is applicable to all metal working fluids- straight, soluble, synthetic, and semi-synthetic as long as they are soluble in the extraction solvent [1,2]. Only one MWF (Glacier, Solutia Inc.) has thus far been found to be insoluble in the ternary extraction solvent. However, that MWF is soluble in the binary blend. Tests have shown that the binary solvent in combination with the ternary solvent is effective in extracting this fluid. [8].

INTERFERENCES: None identified. However, any material collected on the filter and soluble in the extraction solvents may interfere with the analysis.

OTHER METHODS: This method is similar to Method 0500 for Particulates Not Otherwise Regulated [3]. This method replaces Method 5026 which employs infrared analysis for mineral oil mist [4].

RTECS: NONE

REAGENTS:

- 1. Dichloromethane, distilled-in-glass.
- 2. Methanol, distilled-in-glass.
- 3. Toluene, distilled-in-glass.
- 4. Water, filtered, double deionized
- 5. Calcium sulfate, desiccant.
- Ternary solvent blend*: Mix equal volumes of dichloromethane, methanol, and toluene in a clean dust-free container. Use a bottle with a screw cap (e.g. a clean, empty solvent bottle): Mix the solvents by gentle swirling, not by violent shaking.
- Binary solvent blend* : Mix equal volumes of methanol, and water in a clean dust-free container. Use a bottle with a screw cap (e.g. a clean, empty solvent bottle): Mix the solvents by gentle swirling, not by violent shaking
 - * See SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS

EQUIPMENT:

- Sampler: 37-mm PTFE, 2-µm pore size membrane filter and supporting pad in 37-mm cassette filter holder. Use a 2-piece (closedface) cassette for sampling total particulate; For sampling thoracic particulate, use a 3piece cassette with thoracic cyclone (BGI, Inc. Cat. No. GK2.69 or equivalent).
- 2. Personal sampling pump, 1.6 to 2 L/min, with flexible connecting tubing.
- Microbalance, capable of weighing to 0.001 mg.
- 4. Static neutralizer: e.g., ²¹⁰Po; replace nine months after the production date.
- 5. Forceps (preferably nylon or chrome-plated steel).
- 6. Extraction funnel (SKC.,Inc., Cat. No. 225-605 or equivalent).
- 7. Desiccator.
- 8. Wash Bottle, PTFE, for containing wash solvent.
- 9. Vials 20-mL, with leakproof PTFE-lined caps, for transporting bulk fluid samples and solubility testing.
- 10. Syringe, gas-tight with large bore needle, e.g.16-gauge needle.
- 11. Graduated cylinder 20 mL
- 12. Paper towels.
- Metal screen for drying filters following extraction, approx. 1.5 ft square or other convenient size, (Pre-wash screen with ternary blend solvent and allow to dry.)

SPECIAL PRECAUTIONS: Dichloromethane is a suspect carcinogen. Handle all solvents in a fume hood. Use extreme caution when blending the solvents together. The heat of mixing can cause pressure to develop as the solvents are blended, e.g., blowing a stopper from a glass-stoppered container. Use a clean container sealed with a PTFE-lined screwcap.

PREPARATION OF FILTERS BEFORE SAMPLING:

- 1. Number the backup pads with a ballpoint pen and place them, numbered side down, in the filter cassette bottom sections.
- 2. Preweigh the filters by the weighing procedure given in step 3. Record the mean tare weight of sample filters, W₁ and field blanks, B₁ (mg).
- 3. Weighing procedure:
 - a. Equilibrate the filters in an environmentally controlled weighing area or chamber for 1 hour.
 - b. Zero the balance before each weighing.
 - c. Using forceps, pass each filter over a static neutralizer. Repeat this step if the filter does not release easily from the forceps or attracts the balance pan. Static electricity can cause erroneous weight readings.
 - d. Weigh each filter until a constant weight is obtained (two successive weighings within 10 μ g). Record the mean of the last two weighings to the nearest microgram.
- 4. Assemble the filter in the 2- or 3- piece filter cassettes and close firmly so that leakage around the filter will not occur. Place a plug in each opening of the filter cassette. Place a cellulose shrink band around the filter cassette, allow to dry and mark with the same number as the backup pad.

SAMPLING:

- 5. For collection of a thoracic sample, insert the cyclone at the inlet to the 3-piece cassette.
- 6. Calibrate each personal sampling pump with a representative sampler in line.
- 7. For thoracic measurements, sample at 1.6 L/min for 8-hrs.
- For total particulate measurements, sample at 2 L/min for 8 hrs.
- Do not exceed a total filter loading of approximately 2 mg.
- NOTE: In order to test the extraction step of the analytical procedure, obtain a sample of the pure uncut bulk metal-working fluid (MWF) for solubility testing. Place this sample in a small (10-mL) leakproof container that is sealed with a leakproof PTFE-lined screwcap.
- 7. Submit at least five blank filter samples as field blanks for each set of samples collected per day. Handle these in the same way as the field samples; i.e., open each in a non-contaminated environment, then close the sampler and ship it to the lab along with the rest of the samples.
- 9. Refrigerate all samples that are to be stored overnight (or longer) prior to shipment to the laboratory. Ship all samples to the laboratory via overnight express delivery service.
- 10. Refrigerate the samples immediately upon receipt at the lab until ready for analysis.
- 11. Analyze the samples within two weeks of receipt at the laboratory.

SAMPLE PREPARATION AND MEASUREMENT:

- 10. Solubility test of bulk MWF:
 - a. Shake the container of bulk MWF to assure that a homogeneous sample is obtained.
 - b. Place 10-mL of the ternary solvent blend in a 20-mL scintillation vial.
 - c. Using a large-bore gas-tight syringe; inject 50 μL of the bulk MWF into the ternary solvent blend. Cap the vial and shake as necessary to dissolve the MWF. The fluid is soluble if the resulting solution is clear and free of precipitates and phase separation.
 - d. If the MWF is soluble in the ternary blend, the samples can be extracted with the ternary blend . A list of MWF evaluated for solubility thus far is given as an APPENDIX to this method and also at the NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods website: (http://www.cdc.gov/niosh/nmam/nmampub.html)
- 11. Wipe dust from the external surface of each filter cassette (containing either samples or blanks) with a moist paper towel to minimize contamination. Discard the paper towel.
- 12. Remove the top and bottom plugs from the filter cassette. Equilibrate the filters (in the cassettes) for no more than 2 hrs in a desiccator that employs calcium sulfate.
- 13. Remove from the desiccator. Equilibrate for 1 hr in the balance room.
- 14. Remove the cassette band, pry open the cassette, and remove the filter gently to avoid loss of sample. NOTE: If the filter adheres to the underside of the cassette top, very gently lift it away by using the dull side of a scalpel blade. This must be done carefully or the filter will tear.
- 15. Weigh and record (steps 3 b-d)the post-sampling weight of each filter, W₂ (mg) and blanks B₂ (mg). Record anything remarkable about the filter (e.g., overload, leakage, wet, torn, etc.)

CALIBRATION AND QUALITY CONTROL:

- 16. Zero the microbalance before all weighings. Use the same microbalance for weighing filters before and after sample collection. Maintain and calibrate the balance with National Institute of Standards and Technology Class S-1.1 or ASTM Class 1 weights.
- 17. Process three tared media blanks through the measurement process for total particulate and the extractables.

EXTRACTION:

18. General guidelines (see NOTE below):

If the weights of samples exceed the amount expected to be collected at the REL, e.g. 0.4 mg (thoracic) or 0.5 mg (total particulate) for a 1 m³ air sample, extract the samples and blanks as follows: NOTE: Samples weighing < 0.4 to 0.5 mg (for a 1 m³ sample) may be extracted as desired. The reason that the cutoffs of 0.4 and 0.5 mg (per 1000 L sample) have been specified is to assure simple compliance with the standard. If the gross sample weight indicates that the standard has not been exceeded, there may be no reason to extract the sample. Otherwise, the usefulness of any extraction data obtained at levels < 0.4 to 0.5 mg per sample is guided by the quantitation limit (LOQ) of the extraction procedure. Extraction data obtained at levels between the LOD and the LOQ of the extraction procedure should be used with appropriate caution.

- a. Place each filter (membrane side up) in the filter funnel assembly connected to the vacuum source.
 b. Pour one 10-mL aliquot of the *ternary solvent* down the inside of the funnel over the filter. Allow solvent to drain by gravity.
- c. Pour one 10-mL aliquot of the *binary solvent* down the inside of the funnel over the filter. Allow solvent to drain by gravity.
- d. Pour a second 10-mL aliquot of the *ternary solvent* down the inside of the funnel over the filter. Allow at least 30 seconds of contact time. Remove the solvent under slight vacuum.
 Wash the inner wall of the filter funnel with 1-2 mL of the ternary blend contained in a PTFE wash bottle. Remove the solvent under slight vacuum.
- e. Turn off the vacuum to the filter funnel.
- f. Carefully, remove the filter from the filter funnel, place it on the clean metal screen, and allow to dry on the metal screen for 2 hours in a fume hood. Do not remove the filter from the funnel while vacuum is applied or the filter may delaminate.
 - NOTE: One fluid, Glacier (Solutia Chemical, St Louis), was insoluble in the ternary blend but was soluble in the binary blend. Tests have shown that this fluid is efficiently extracted from the filters using steps 18 a e.
- 19. Weigh each filter, including field blanks (using steps 3 a-d). Record the post-extraction weight, W₃(mg) of the extracted sample filters and B₃ (mg) for the extracted blank filters. Record anything remarkable about the extracted filter (e.g, torn, wet, delamination etc.)

CALCULATIONS:

20. Calculate the concentration of total- or thoracic particulate, C (mg/m³), in the air volume sampled, V (L):

$$C = \frac{(W_2 - W_1) - (B_2 - B_1) \cdot \mathbf{10}^3 L / m^3}{V}, (mg / m^3)$$

- where: W_1 = mean tare weight of filter before sampling (mg)(step 3)
 - W₂ = mean post-sampling weight of sample-containing filter (mg)(step 15)
 - B_1 = mean tare weight of blank filters (mg) (step 3)
 - B_2 = mean post-sampling weight of blank filters (mg) (step 15)
- 21. Calculate the concentration of extracted MWF aerosol C_{MWF} (mg/m³), in the air volume sampled, V (L):

$$C_{MWF} = \frac{(W_2 - W_3) - (B_2 - B_3) \cdot 10^3 L / m^3}{V}, (mg / m^3)$$

where: $W_2 = mean post-sampling weight (pre-extraction weight) of sample-containing filter (mg)(Step 15)$

- W₃ = mean post-extraction weight of sample-containing filter (mg) (step 19)
- B_2 = mean post-sampling weight of blank filters (mg) (step 15)
- B_3 = mean post-extraction weight of blank filters (mg) (step 19)
- 22. Report the concentration C as total- or thoracic particulate weight; report the concentration C_{MWF} as the weight of the MWF aerosol.

EVALUATION OF METHOD:

The development of the ternary solvent used in this method is described in reference [1]. This method was initially tested with representative samples of straight, soluble, semi-synthetic, and synthetic metalworking fluids (MWF). Samples were spiked onto tared polytetrafluoroethylene (PTFE) membrane filters, stored overnight, and analyzed the following day. The samples were weighed, then the MWF was extracted from the filter with a 1:1:1 blend of dichloromethane:methanol: toluene. The extraction of all fluids from the filters was quantitative over the range 200 μ g to 815 μ g for the straight fluid, from 223 μ g to 878 μ g for the soluble fluid, from 51 μ g to 189 μ g for the semi-synthetic fluid, and from 102 μ g to 420 μ g for the synthetic fluid. For those weights of all four fluids spiked at levels \geq 200 μ g, the relative standard deviation was estimated to be 4% for the total weight procedure and 5% for the extraction procedure. If the sampling imprecision of 5% is included, these estimates become 6% and 7% respectively for the total weight and extraction procedures. Limits of quantitation, estimated from blanks carried through the entire analytical procedure, were 30 μ g for the weighing technique and 60 μ g for the extraction technique. No estimate of the bias was available. [2] The filters are dessicated to remove excess water, especially from water-based MWF samples.

In a more rigorous test of the method for a 79-plant survey [7], the average limits of quantitation were estimated to be 0.1 mg for both the total- and extracted- weight procedures. However, there was high variability in these estimates for the sites sampled. The upper 95% confidence limit for the LOQs for both the total weight- and extractable weight- measurements was 0.3 mg. In order to assess the effectiveness of the extraction step, a secondary extraction of the most heavily-loaded filters obtained in this survey was conducted; On average, < 5% of the sample weight was removed during the 2^{nd} extraction, indicating that the majority of extractable material had been removed during the first extraction. Samples were refrigerated upon receipt at the laboratory [6, 7].

The fractions extracted (FE or weight extracted/weight of sample) were studied as a function of the four metalworking fluid types and three main work operations—grinding, milling, and turning. This evaluation indicated that FE generally decreased in the order: straight > semisynthetic or soluble > synthetic; the differences in the fractions extracted for the straight and the synthetic fluids were statistically significant only for the grinding operation at two sample levels tested.

During the 79-plant survey, the stability of quality assurance (QA) samples, spiked separately with a straight, a soluble, a semisynthetic, and a synthetic fluid indicated that the QA samples all lost weight according to simple linear decay equations. These decay equations were used to estimate the amounts expected to be reported for QA filters by the performing laboratory. For storage periods ranging from 17 to 26 days, the total weight of samples recovered for all QA samples were $\geq 80\%$ of those expected from the decay equations. For these QA samples, the fractions extracted of all four fluid types were ≥ 0.90 .

The binary solvent extraction step has been added to assure complete extraction of MWF components that may be incompletely removed by the ternary blend. In addition, the binary solvent extends the procedure to samples that contain ternary blend-soluble fluids co-mingled with ternary blend-insoluble fluids, e.g. Glacier. Tests of the extraction of five MWF (including Glacier) showed that extraction efficiencies using the ternary blend in combination with the binary blend were comparable to those reported in reference 1 using the ternary blend alone (FE > 90 %; CV < 0.10). The binary solvent extractant liquor obtained from the Glacier samples generally contained potassium and phosphorous at levels approximately expected for the mass spiked onto the filters. The binary solvent extracts of the four other test fluids were analyzed for sodium, potassium or boron marker elements. Sodium was present in the extract of the soluble fluid at > background levels. The boron marker was not detected in the extract from the semisynthetic fluid. The potassium marker was not detected in the extract from the semisynthetic fluid.

REFERENCES:

[1] Glaser RA, Shulman S, Klinger P [1999]. Data Supporting a Provisional American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method for Metalworking Fluids, Part 2: Preliminary Report of Evaluation of a Ternary Extraction Solvent in a Provisional ASTM Test Method for Metalworking Fluids (PS-42-97). Journal of Testing and Evaluation March 1999:131-136.

- [2] Glaser RA [1999]. Data Supporting a Provisional American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method for Metalworking Fluids, Part 1: A Solvent Blend with Wide-Ranging Ability to Dissolve Metal Working Fluids. Journal of Testing and Evaluation March 1999: 171-174.
- [3] NIOSH [1994]. Particulates Not Otherwise Regulated: Method 0500. In: Eller, PM, Cassinelli, ME, Eds. NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NMAM), 4th ed. Cincinnati OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113.
- [4] NIOSH [1994]. Oil Mist, Mineral: Method 5026: In Eller, PM, Cassinelli, ME, eds., NIOSH Manual of Analytical Methods (NIOSH), 4th ed. Cincinnati OH: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, DHHS (NIOSH) Publication No. 94-113.
- [5] NIOSH [1977] Documentation of the NIOSH Validation Tests, S262, S349. Cincinnati, Ohio: National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health, U.S. Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, Publ. (NIOSH) 77-185.
- [6] Piacitelli G, Hughes R, Catalano J, Sieber W, Glaser RA, and Kent M [1997]. Exposures to Metalworking Fluids in Small Size Machine Shops. presented at The Industrial Metalworking Environment: Assessment and Control of Metal Removal Fluids Symposium, Detroit, Michigan, September.
- [7] Glaser RA, Shulman S, Kurimo R, and Piacitelli G [2002] Data Supporting a Provisional American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM) Method for Metalworking Fluids, Part 3: Evaluation of a Provisional ASTM Method for Metalworking Fluids (PS-42-97) in a Joint NIOSH/OSHA Survey of Metalworking Facilities, Journal of Testing and Evaluation, in press.
- [8] Glaser RA [2002]. Data Supporting a Provisional American Society for Testing and Materials (ASTM Method for Metalworking Fluids, Part 4: Modification of a Provisional ASTM Method for Metalworking Fluids (PS-42-97) to Enhance Extraction of Insoluble Materials, in preparation for submission to the ASTM, Journal of Testing and Evaluation (2002/3).

METHOD WRITTEN BY:

R. Glaser, NIOSH/DART.

APPENDIX

List of Metalworking Fluids that have been found to be soluble in the ternary blend. The individual fluids have been identified by type and manufacturer [6,7].

MANUFACTURER	TRADENAME	ТҮРЕ	SOLUBLE
All Power	KOOLMIST 77	Semi-synthetic	Yes
American Lubricants	All Purpose Cutting Oil	Straight	Yes
Americhem Corp	AM Cutting 2506 Oil	Straight	Yes
Angler Industries	Draw LT-1R	Synthetic	Yes
	Angler OIL Cut 121-M straight oil	Straight	Yes
Aqueous Cleaning Tech Inc	ACT 486 Cutting Coolant	Soluble	Yes
	ACT 734 Synthetic Coolant	Synthetic	Yes
Associated Chemists	ACI Templex 5950	Semi-synthetic	Yes
	ACI 4926 Carbide Grinding Fluid	Synthetic	Yes
	ACI 4920 Grinding Fluid	Synthetic	Yes
	ACI Templex 4966	Semi-synthetic	Yes
	ACI Templex 4929 Low Foam Grinding Fluid	Synthetic	Yes
	ACI 4931 Mach and Tap Fluid	Straight	Yes

Blaser Swisslube	BLASOCUT 4000 STRONG Blasocut 2000 Universal	Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes
Castrol	Castrol Meqqem Cob Clearedge 6519 Clearedge 6584 Drawfree 811 (Previously Iloform) N100 Pale oil (Brass Oil) Safety Cool 407 Safety Cool 800 Syntilo 9951 Syntilo 9954	Synthetic Semi-synthetic Soluble Straight Soluble Semi-synthetic Synthetic Synthetic	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Chemtrol Inc	CT-345-J	Semi-synthetic	Yes
Chevron	Chevron Met Working Fluid #503	Straight	Yes
Citgo Petroleum	Citgo Cutting Oil 205 Citgo Cutting Oil 425 Citicool 22 Citcool 33	Soluble Straight Synthetic Synthetic	Yes Yes Yes Yes
CLC Lubricants	CLC Cut PX2 NS CLC Chem Finish 605 CLC Chem Cut MX-CG Coolant 2224 Plus Chem Finish 605	Straight Straight Straight Synthetic Straight	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Commonwealth Oil	Comminac 32 MAX	Straight	Yes
Cutting & Grinding Fluids Inc	CG 650 D CG 5352 R CG 5352 RR Kool Kut 692	Soluble Straight Straight Soluble	Yes Yes Yes Yes
DA Stuart Co	Dascool LN 231-78 Dascool 2223 Superkool 25 straight Surgrind 86	Semi-synthetic Semi-synthetic Straight Synthetic	Yes Yes Yes Yes
Die-Casting ID Corp	ID DUA Chem 202	Semi-synthetic	Yes
Diversy Corp	LUBRICOOLANT AC LUBRICOOLANT 4D	Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes
DoALL Co.	DoAll 80 Kool All 940 Kool All 948	Straight Semi-synthetic Semi-synthetic	Yes Yes Yes
ELF Lubricants North America Inc	Elfdraw S 13	Synthetic	Yes
Enterprise Oil Co	Duracut 130	Straight	Yes

ETNA Products	Master Draw B 942/I	Soluble	Yes
Fuchs Lubricants	Fuchs Velvesol 96 Lus-Co-Cut 570ST Lus-Co-Cut 514 CMP Straight oil Lus-Co-Cut 400 Straight oil Renodraw 419NC Renocut 471 straight oil Shamrock LF Ultracool 430	Soluble Straight Straight Soluble Straight Soluble Synthetic	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Hangsterfer's Lab Co	Hangsterfer's Hard Cut # 531	Straight	Yes
Houghton Intl	CUTMAX 570 Cut Max TPO-46 Hocut 787 H	Straight Straight Soluble	Yes Yes Yes
Intercon Enterprises	Jokisch W2-OP	Semi-synthetic	Yes
ITW Fluid Prod Group	Accu-Lube LB-2000 Accu-Lube LB 3000 Rustlick PB-10 Soluble Rustlick WS 5050	Straight Straight Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes Yes Yes
Lillyblad	DB BROMUS B water souble DB Water Soluble oil D	Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes
Lyondell Petrochemical	Transkut HD 200	Straight	Yes
Lyondell Petrochemical Master Chemical	Transkut HD 200 Trim E 190 Trim CE/CE Trim O M287 TRIMSOL Trim Microsol 265 TRIMSOL Silicone Free	Straight Soluble Straight Soluble Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Lyondell Petrochemical Master Chemical Metalworking Lubricants	Transkut HD 200 Trim E 190 Trim CE/CE Trim O M287 TRIMSOL Trim Microsol 265 TRIMSOL Silicone Free METKUT 20546-TX-40	Straight Soluble Straight Soluble Soluble Soluble Soluble	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Lyondell Petrochemical Master Chemical Metalworking Lubricants Milacron	Transkut HD 200 Trim E 190 Trim CE/CE Trim O M287 TRIMSOL Trim Microsol 265 TRIMSOL Silicone Free METKUT 20546-TX-40 Cimstar 60-LF Cimstar 3700 Cimtech 100 Cimtech 100 Cimstar Qual Star Cimtap II Cimperial 1010 Cimperial 1011 Cimstar 55 Cimstar 540 Cimtech 400 C10 TX	Straight Soluble Soluble Straight Soluble Soluble Soluble Straight Semi-synthetic Semi-synthetic Semi-synthetic Soluble Soluble Semi-synthetic Semi-synthetic Soluble Semi-synthetic Soluble	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes

	Mobilmet Omega Vacmul 281 Mobil Hydraulic AW 68 Straight Oil	Straight Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes
	Mobilmet Upsilon Vacmul 3A Honing oil/EDM	Straight Straight	Yes Yes
Monroe Fluid Tech Co	Prime Cut Soluble Oil	Soluble	Yes
Motor Oil Inc	Thredkut 99 cutting oil Kleercut CF	Straight Straight	Yes Yes
National Oil Products	National Oil Products 3115 cutting oil National Oil Products Supreme Soluble HD	Straight Soluble	Yes Yes
Oakite Products Inc	Oakite Controlant 650 NS	Synthetic	Yes
Ocean State Oil	Hycut 4 Straight Oil Neil Cut 570 Cutting straight oil	Straight Straight	Yes Yes
Perkins Products	Perkut 296-H Perkool 5005- EP	Straight Semi-synthetic	Yes Yes
Relton Corp	Relton A-9 Aluminum Cutting Fluid	Soluble	Yes
Rex Oil & Chemical Co	Titan Cutting Straight Oil Magic Cutting Oil	Straight Straight	Yes Yes
Richards Apex Prod. formerly G Whitefield Richa	Near-a-Lard # 62 rds Co	Straight	Yes
Rock Valley Oil & Chemical Co	Rockpin Straight Oil	Straight	Yes
Solar Chem Co	Solar Cut	Synthetic	Yes
Solutia	Glacier	Synthetic	Νο
Spartan Chem Co.	COOLSPAR	Synthetic	Yes
Steco Corp	TAP Magic Aluminum Tapmagic Extra Cuttng Fluid	Semi-synthetic Straight	Yes Yes
Stirling Industries Division	Tufcut 316 Raecut A-1 16228 HONING OIL	Straight Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes
Sunnen Products	Sunnen Honnig Oil MB 30-55	Straight	Yes
Tapmatic Corp	LPS Tapmatic Plus 2	Synthetic	Yes
Техасо	Texaco Sulfur Oil (Sultex)	Straight	Yes
	Texaco SultexF	Straight	Yes
		Straight	100

Trico Mfg	TriCool	Synthetic	Yes
Union Butterfield	Union Butterfield Tapping & Cutting Oil	Straight	Yes
Unocal Refining	Unocal Kooper Kut 11HD	Straight	Yes
US Oil Co Inc	Blanking Oil 250 Alkut 810 US Drawlube 1517 Vanishing Oil 300 Gem Soluble CP US Cut 6040 Spindle Oil ISO 10 Al st oil 321-SS Cutting Straight Oil	Straight Straight Straight Soluble Straight Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
Valenite Inc	ValCool Turntech Valcool VNT 800	Semi-synthetic Soluble	Yes Yes
Varoum Chemical	Gauge Sterling Brass Cutting Oil Metacut MS Steel Cutting Oil GM 465	Straight Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes
Viking Chemical Co	Cut Rite 305 CFX	Straight	Yes
Vulcan Oil & Chem	Ultrasol Soluble Oil J-Cut 931 Cutting Oil Poseidon R&O HD	Soluble Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes
WS Dodge Oil Co	Pale oil (all Viscosity grades) Combo base 82 Additive Deosol 202 Pale Straight Oil 55 Superkut Cutting Oil 72/200	Straight Straight Soluble Straight Straight	Yes Yes Yes Yes Yes
ZEP Products	ZEP Lubeze 14	Straight	Yes